

Throughout the grading rubrics, 5 is the best rating and 1 is the poorest rating.

I. Submission accomplished correctly (<i>email, electronic document, hard copy</i>)	Rating for part I:	«SU Bscore»
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Number of hard copies turned in (3 required):</i> «numHC» «Hccomment» ▪ <i>Recorded time of submission to Blackboard (due 03-04-05 08:00):</i> «dropboxDate» [«ontime»] ▪ <i>Label on Blackboard posting:</i> «dropboxLabelStatus» ▪ <i>Name of submitted file:</i> «filenameStatus» «BBfilePRINT» «filePRINT» «CRfilePRINT» <p><i>Your comment at the Blackboard dropbox:</i> «BBcomment»</p> <p><i>Dr. Almstrum's overall comment on submission issues:</i></p> <p>«Mycomment»</p>	5 all aspects of submission correct 4 3 some problems with submission 2 1 submission accomplished incorrectly	
II. Formatting: running header on hard copy	Rating for part II:	«Rhs core»
<p><i>Comments on running header:</i> «runningHeader»</p> <p><i>Comments on <title> tag:</i> «titleTag»</p>	5 running header is correct in all respects 4 3 present but lacks key information 2 1 no running header	
III. Formatting: opening banner	Rating for part III:	«Obs core»
<p><i>Comments on opening banner:</i> «openingBanner»</p>	5 good opening banner in all respects 4 3 some parts problematic 2 1 no opening banner	
IV. HTML source code: clean source file, good use of html tags	Rating for part IV:	«SRC score»
<p><i>Output from tidy:</i> «tidyWarnings» warnings and «tidyErrors» errors</p> <p><i>Output from W3C HTML Validator [http://validator.w3.org/]:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Detected document type:</i> «docType» ▪ <i>Detected character Encoding:</i> «CharEncode» ▪ <i>Number of errors reported:</i> «w3cErrors» ▪ <i>Was it valid?</i> «w3cValid» <p><i>Readability of the HTML source:</i> «HTMLrdbble»</p> <p><i>Use of HTML mechanisms:</i> «HTMLmech»</p>	5 good in all respects 4 3 generally good, some problems 2 1 very poor HTML source file	

V. Layout of document: good use of real estate, good font size, etc.	Rating for part V: «DLs core»	»
<i>Comments on overall layout of document:</i> «docLayout»	5 good layout in all respects 4 3 some aspects of layout disturb readability 2 1 very poor layout	
VI. Section 1.0: Overview	Rating for part VI: «s1p oint s»	»
<i>Comments on Section 1.0:</i> «s1projects» <i>Comments on collaboration statement:</i> «s1collab»	5 good opening section 4 3 somewhat deficient 2 1 poor	
VII. Section 4.0: Lessons and Advice 5 good ... 4 ... 3 somewhat lacking ... 2 ... 1 discussion of lessons and advice is poor	Rating for part VII: «s4p oint s»	»
<i>Comments on Section 4.1 (Documentation):</i> «s4doc» <i>Comments on Section 4.2 (V&V):</i> «s4vv» <i>Comments on Section 4.3 (Lessons):</i> «s4lessons» <i>Comments on Section 4.4 (Advice):</i> «s4advice»	5 good 4 3 lessons or advice somewhat lacking 2 1 discussion of lessons and advice is poor	
VIII. Sections 1&4 Writing Mechanics: Style, tone, clarity 5 = good style, tone, clarity; 3 = mediocre style, tone, clarity; 1 = very poor style, tone, clarity	Rating for part VIII: «s14 styl e»	»
IX. Sections 1&4 Writing Mechanics: Grammar 5 = good; few if any errors; 3 = some problems, not distracting; 1 = distracting # of errors	Rating for part IX: «s14 gra mma r»	»
X. Sections 1&4 Writing Mechanics: Word choice 5 = choice of words precise; 3 = sometimes inaccurate or poor; 1 = frequently wrong for context	Rating for part X: «s14 word »	»
XI. Sections 1&4 Writing Mechanics: Form issues (capitals, punctuation) 5 = good; few if any errors; 3 = some problems, not distracting; 1 = distracting # of errors	Rating for part XI: «s14 form »	»
XII. Sections 1&4 Writing Mechanics: Spelling 5 = no spelling errors; 3 = few spelling errors; 1 = distracting number of errors	Rating for part XII: «s14 spell ing»	»
<i>Comments on section 1 writing:</i> «s1write» <i>Comments on section 4 writing:</i> «s4write» <i>General comments on writing in sections 1 & 4:</i> «s14wrcomment»		
XIII. Formatting Sections 1&4	Rating for part XIII: «s14 form at»	»
<i>Comments on formatting of section 1.0:</i> «s1format» <i>Comments on formatting of section 4.0:</i> «s4format»		

Overall Grade: « total »	content: « <i>content</i> » * 50% of Overall Grade = « <i>contentpct</i> »	format/instructions: « <i>format</i> » * 20% of Overall Grade = « <i>formatpct</i> »	writing: « <i>write</i> » * 30% of Overall Grade = « <i>writepct</i> »
------------------------------------	--	---	--

*The review summary pages are at the URL <http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/s2s/reviews/sp05/>. On the lessons page and the pages for «*codename1*» and «*codename2*», your reviews appear under the code number «*code*»*