

*Review of Project: spaceadd1*

<b>Completeness scale:</b> 5 answer is complete 4 3 answer is somewhat incomplete 2 1 answer is incomplete or missing many key aspects	<b>Accuracy scale:</b> 5 answer is accurate, shows excellent insight into the issues 4 3 answer lacks insight, although it is mostly accurate 2 1 answer somewhat inaccurate and shows little if any insight
* = aspect is outstanding in both areas, receives extra credit	

<b>I. 2.1 “About this Project” section</b>	<i>Completeness:</i>		<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
(addresses summary + rec. environment + team recommendations)	<i>Accuracy:</i>	*	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. 2.2 “Approach for this investigation” section</b>	<i>Completeness:</i>		<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
(addresses steps (not results) + investigative environment)	<i>Accuracy:</i>	*	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>III. 2.3 “Findings” section</b>	<i>Completeness:</i>		<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
(documentation + dir. structure + quality op/code + legal + testing)	<i>Accuracy:</i>	*	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>IV. 2.4 “Recommendations” section</b>	<i>Completeness:</i>		<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
(fixes + enhancements + strategy for continued work)	<i>Accuracy:</i>	*	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>V. 2.5 “Summary of faults and problems” section</b>	<i>Completeness:</i>		<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
(usable and useful presentation of problems encountered)	<i>Accuracy:</i>	*	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>VI. Section 2 Overall Quality – effectiveness in conveying a full and informative review (5 = very effective; 1 = information is very inadequate)</b>		*	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>

*Grading continued on back of page*